COMPLETE SUMMARY APPRAISAL OF THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT

808 Michigan Avenue

Libby, MT 59923

hots 4 and 5,
Block 4 Jennison Addition
3-30-31

March 6, 2006

for

U.S. Dept. of Transportation Research & Innovative Tech. Admin 55 Broadway Kendali Sq. Cambridge, MA 02142

by

Howell & Associates

1426 Renwood Drive Libby, MT 59923

Gary Burchette

Howell & Associates 1426 Renwood Drive Libby, MT 59923 406-293-9517

March 13, 2006

U.S. Dept. of Transportation Research & Innovative Tech. Admin 55 Broadway Kendall Sq. Cambridge, MA 02142

Property -

808 Michigan Avenue

Libby, MT 59923

Borrower -

n/a

File No. -

7845

Case No. -

Dear Zamora:

In accordance with your request, I have made a Complete Appraisal and prepared a Summary Appraisal Report of the real property located at 808 Michigan Avenue, Libby, MT.

The purpose of the Summary Appraisal is to provide an opinion of the market value of the property described in the body of this report.

Enclosed, please find the Summary Appraisal which describes certain data gathered during our investigation of the property. The methods of approach and reasoning in the valuation of the various physical and economic factors of the subject property are contained in this report.

An inspection of the property and a study of pertinent factors, including valuation trends and an analysis of neighborhood data, led the appraiser to the conclusion that the market value, as of March 6, 2006 is:

\$37,500

The opinion of value expressed in this report is contingent upon the Limiting Conditions attached to this report.

It has been a pleasure to assist you. If I may be of further service to you in the future, please let me

Respectfully submitted,

Howell & Associates

Brad Kelsch

MT License #Licensed Residential #756

UNIFORM RESIDENTIAL APPRAISAL REPORT Valuation Section 7845 ESTIMATED SITE VALUE 14,000 Comments on Cost Approach (such as, source of cost ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION COST-NEW-OF IMPROVEMENTS: site value, square toot calculation and for HUD, VA and FmHA, the 61<u>.05</u> =\$ Dwelling 954 sq.R@s 58,242 estimated remaining economic life of the property): \underline{The} **BSMT** none SqR@\$ replacement cost is based on the Marshall & Swift Cost 9,458 Lump Sum Adjustments = Handbook. The depreciation estimate includes physical Garage/Carport 450 sq.R@s _ 21.99 = 9,896 forms only. The land value was projected after Total Estimated Cost New 72,939 reviewing land sales in the area and extraction from 64.0 Physical Functional External improved sales. Estimated cost includes a current cost 46,681 Depreciation 46,681 multiplier of 1.00 and a local multiplier of 0.94. Depreciated Value of Improvements 26,258 1,000 41,258 41,258 INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH ПЕМ SUBJECT COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE NO. 3 808 Michigan Avenue-L 3-30-31 40 Pioneer Rd-L 32-31-31 1305 Washington-L 10-30-31 208 W Spruce St-L 3-30-31 Lt2A,Bk3 Mahoney's Sub Lt11&S10'Lt12,B19 So. Libby Address Libby S pt Lt6,Bk3 Karnes Add Proximity to Subject 2 miles 0.4 mile 0.6 mile Sales Price 50,200 n/a 50.62 🗷 42.89 Ø 50.00 D Price/Gross Liv. Area **(7)** | Data and/or Inspection ProMatch inspection/pub records inspection/pub records Verification Source public records Public Records Buy/Sell Buy/Sell VALUE ADJUSTMENTS DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION + (-) \$ Adjustment DESCRIPTION + (-) \$ Adjustment DESCRIPTION + (-) \$ Adjustment Sales or Financing conventional VA FHA Concessions sales concess sales concess -2,20010/31/2005 Date of Sale/Time 08/05/2005 07/29/2005 Location urban suburban urban urban Leasehold/Fee Simple fee simple fee simple fee simple fee simple -4,000 0.178 acres 0.146 acres São 1.05 acres 0.12 acres View typical typical typical typical 1.5 story/fair one story/fair one story/fair Design and Appeal one story/fair Quality of Construction masonite wd siding/similar asbestos shing/sin metal_siding/sim A1950/E50 -2,500 Age A1922/E50 A1921/E50 A1952,rm62/E40 -2,500 superior -2,500 deferred maint Condition <u>isimilar</u> superior Above Grade Total Borns Baths Total Borne Total Bulms Total Borns Room Count 4 | 1 | 1.00 5 2 1.0 -1,0004 1 1.00 4 2 1.00 -1,000Gross Living Area 954 816 +2,000 +2,0001,004 -1,000809 Sq. Ft. Sq. Pt. Sq.Ft. Sq. Ft. -4000 336sf Resement & Finished pier & post pier and post 809sf -1500Rooms Below Grade none none none none Functional Utility average average average average -2,000 prop space/none -2,000 OFA/none -3,000 Heating/Cooling none/none EBB/none adequate Energy Efficient Items adequate adequate adequate +4,000 none +4,000 none +4,000 Garage/Carport 1Cdet+shop none Porch, Patio, Deck, 2 enclosed porch COP +500 covered stoop +1,000 2 COF +500 none +500 none Fireplace(s), etc. +500 hearth, w/s попе -500 none Fence, Pool, etc. fence, barn -2,500 storage shed none + X · \$ -2,500 + X- \$ -3,450 -9,200 Net Adj. (total) Gross 45.1% Gross 36.3% Gross 47 1% Adjusted Sales Price of Comparable Net -7.1% \$ 32,500 Net -8.4% \$ Net -18.3% \$ Communents on Sales Compatison (including the subject property's compatibility to the neighborhood, etc.): <u>Due to the low population of the county</u>, there are few sales to consider as comparables (207 sales for 2005; 190 sales for 2004 for Libby/Troy, Single family residences are custom built and vary greatly in size, style, age, condition & quality of construction. Consequently, adjustments are often greater than normally desirable and adjustment percentages are higher than typically acceptable. The comparables chosen are found to be the best available through a search of MLS sales, appraisers data files, realtors support and client input. ***See Extended Comments*** SUBJECT COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE NO. 3 owner purchased no sale history Seller purchased Date, Price and Data Seller purchased 10/02/1998 2/22/1994 8/07/2002 Source, for prior sales past vear DOM=309 DOM=39 DOM=109 ment of sale, option, or listing of the subject property and analysis of any prior sales of subject and comparable Analysis of any current agree The subject has not been sold in the past 3 years; the subject is not currently listed. Prior sales of the comparable properties occurred more than a year ago. INDICATED VALUE BY SALES COMPARISON APPROACH ...,,,,,,... INDICATED VALUE BY INCOME APPROACH (If Applicable) Estimated Market Rent \$ xubject to the repairs, alterations, inspections or conditions listed below subject to completion per plans and specifications AS IS. No personal property included. Appraisal completed in accordance with USPAP & FIRREA regulations per addendum. All exhibits and addendums must accompany appraisal. ***See Extended Comments*** The sales comparison approach is considered the best indicator of value since it best expresses buyer/seller demand traits. The cost approach is supportive. Data is insufficient for a rent survey or income approach. Additional Comments *** The purpose of this appraisal is to estimate the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report, based on the above conditions and the certification, contingent and limiting conditions, and market value definition that are stated in the estached Freddie Mac Form 439/Fannie Mee Form 10048 (Revised 06/93I (ME) ESTIMATE THE MARKET VALUE, AS DEFINED, OF THE REAL PROPERTY THAT IS THE SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT, AS OF March 6, 2006 THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS REPORT) TO BE \$
SUPERVISORY APPRAE
Signature (WHICH IS THE DATE OF 37,500 SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED): APPRAISER: Did Did Not Signature Signature Name Brad Kelsch Name Inspect Property March 13, 2006 Date Report Signed Date Report Signed State Certification # State Certification # State Licensed Residential #756 Or State License #

Complete Appraisal Analysis - Summary Appraisal Report

Or State License #

State

File No. 7845

					, , , ,
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS					
Borrower or Owner	n/a				
Property Address	808 Michigan Avenue				
Cay Libby	County	Lincoln	State	MT	Zip Code 59923
Lender or Client	U.S. Dent of Transportation	n	•		

CONDITION OF IMPROVEMENTS

The attic could not be inspected due to the owner stating that asbestosi sp resent in the attic. The exterior hasd efective paint on the trim and siding The exterior base board on the exterior of the house ist ouching the ground and is rotted in some places. The ceiling in the living room is bowed and droops in some areas. The floor isu nlevel and slopesi n various places of the house. Mold isp resent on the closet wall (see attached picture). The home has no mechanical heat source. The only source of heat isa wood stove. No other physical or functional inadequaciesa re noted beyond normal wear for thisa ge home. Construction quality is fair. Improvements are in deferred maintenance condition. Below average appeal and marketability.

COMMENTS ON SALES COMPARISON

These salesa re considered to be well within the subject's marketing area. Comparable salesp roximity to subject exceed appraisal standardso f 1 mile, thisi st ypical in thisr ural, mountain community where housing development isg reatly scattered often due to mountain terrain. Site valuesa re derived from area land sales, immediate neighborhood land sales were limited. Adjustmentsr eflect acreage, view, location, utilitya nd terrain differences. The market supports the high demand for excess land. No time adjustmentsr equired in stable market. Construction quality adjustments, when appropriate, are computed from values supported by M arshall & Swift Cost Handbook, factored into the square footage and depreciated by the effective age of the comparable sale. Age adjustmentsd eveloped from Marshall & Swift depreciation schedule and supported by market analysis. Grossl iving area (GLA) isc omputed at \$15 per square foot. Basement adjustmentsr effect size, finish, style, quality (owner or contractor) and utility for marketing area. Car storage adjustmentsa re typical market reaction with considerationsg iven to size, quality, utility, condition and completion. Other amenities are typical market reaction for area such aso ut-buildings.

No one sale best supportst he indicated market value. After all adjustmentsh ave been made, a fairly narrow range of value is indicated. The subject residence isl ocated in the urban area of Libby, Montana. Sales comparables are located in the urban and surrounding suburban area of Libby, Montana. The subject asd escribed in the condition of improvementss ection hasd eferred maintenance on the exterior and interior of the house. Sale 1 is in similar condition to the subject. Sales 2, 3, 4, and 5 are in superior condition. The subject hasa pier and post foundation (according to courthouse records). There appears to be no perimeter concrete foundation. Sale 1 has a similar foundation to the subject. Sales 2, 3, and 4 have basements. Sale 5 hasa concrete perimeter foundation with a crawl space. Car storage varies among the sales; the subject hasa detached garage/shop. Amenitiesv ary among the sales; the subject has no known amenities.

Adjustmentse xceed appraisal standards, however due to the very limited population of the area, few sales are typical. Appraiser has esearched the area by consulting area realtors, public records, MLS and appraisers data files and have found that these sales ere among the best comparable for the subject property.

Saleso f vacant land considered similar to the subject'ss ite within the past 18 months:

MLS 100344/0.75 acre/\$7500

MLS 101187/0.90 acre/\$10,000

MLS 102004/0.86 acre/\$14,000

MLS 101789/0.22 acre/\$14,000 city lot

MLS 102192/0.23 acre/\$9,500

MLS 101879/0.81 acre/\$16,500

MLS 102400/0.42 acre/\$16,500

MLS 101609/0.22 acre/\$16,000

The estimated market value of the subject property is \$37,500. The subject land isg iven a market value of \$14,000. The estimated market value of the improvements is \$23,500.

CONDITIONS OF APPRAISAL

This appraisal is not a home inspection and the appraiser is not acting as ah ome inspector when preparing the report. The borrower hast he right to have the home inspected by a professional inspector. When performing the inspection of thisp roperty, the appraiser visually observed areast hat were readily accessible. The appraiser is not required to disturb or move anything that obstructs access or visibility.

It is noted that no inspection was made of the attic or area under the house due to the fact that the homeowner stated that asbestosw asp resent in both areas; no disturbing of the areasw asd one due to health concerns.

The home isl ocated in a Superfund area. The US Environmental Protection Agency information center will/hase valuate(d) this home for amphibole asbestos. For more information call the EPA information center at (406) 293-6194.

There is no evidence from the market place that pricesh ave had a negative affect from the Superfund designation. Market conditions are stable and homes have sold a a brisk rate in the Libby/Troy area